	158
1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA POWER PLANT AND LS-302
2	TRANSMISSION LINE SITING COMMITTEE
3	
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE ) DOCKET NO. APPLICATION OF BELMONT ENERGY ) L-21234A-23-0076-00219
5	CENTER, LLC, IN CONFORMANCE ) WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF ) LS CASE NO. 219
6	ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES ) 40-360, ET SEQ., FOR A )
7	CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ) COMPATIBILITY AUTHORIZING THE )
8	BELMONT SOLAR PROJECT ) GENERATION TIE LINE, WHICH )
9	CONSISTS OF A NEW, ) APPROXIMATELY 8.6-MILE-LONG, )
10	500KV TRANSMISSION LINE ) CONNECTING THE PLANNED BELMONT)
11	SOLAR PROJECT LOCATED SOUTH OF) INTERSTATE-10 NEAR THE )
12	INTERSECTION OF THOMAS ROAD ) AND 499TH AVENUE IN )
14	UNINCORPORATED MARICOPA ) COUNTY, ARIZONA TO THE ) EXISTING ARIZONA PUBLIC )
15	SERVICE COMPANY DELANEY ) SUBSTATION. ) EVIDENTIARY HEARING
16	)
17	At: Avondale, Arizona
18	Date: May 16, 2023
19	Filed: May 19, 2023
20	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
21	VOLUME II (Pages 158 through 222)
22	GLENNIE REPORTING SERVICES, LLC
23	1555 East Orangewood, Phoenix, AZ 85020 602-266-6535 admin@glennie-reporting.com
24	By: Kathryn A. Blackwelder, RPR
25	Certified Reporter Certificate No. 50666

				159
1	VOLUME I	May 15, 2	023 Pages 1	to 157
2	VOLUME I	May 16, 2	023 Pages 1	58 to 222
3				
4				
5		INDEX TO	PROCEEDINGS	
6	ITEM			PAGE
7	Opening	Statements		7
8	Virtual '	Tour		48
9	Public Co	omment Session		155
10	Closing	Statements		NONE
11	Delibera	tions		165
12	Vote			219
13				
14				
15		INDEX TO	EXAMINATIONS	
16	WITNESSE	S		PAGE
17	CLINTON S	SPENCER, ALEX SIMO UNNI - Applicant	NS, DEVIN PETRY, COL	IN AGNER,
18		ect Examination by	Mr Crockett	16
19	DII	ecc maminación by	m. Crockett	10
20				
21		INDEX TO	O EXHIBITS	
22	NO.	DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
23	BEC-1	CEC Application	18	123
24	BEC-2	Witness Summary of Clinton Spencer	of 18	123
25		crimon sponoor		

				160
1		INDEX TO EXHIBIT	rs	
2	NO.	DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
3	BEC-3	Witness Summary of Olu Oladunni	20	123
<b>4</b> 5	BEC-4	Witness Summary of Alex Simons	22	123
6	BEC-5	Witness Summary of Devin Petry	25	123
7 8	BEC-6	Witness Summary of Colin Agner	27	123
9	BEC-7	Witness Presentation Slides	16	123
10	BEC-8	Requested Corridor Map	127	123
11 12	BEC-9	Affidavits of Publication of Notice of Hearing	n 62	123
13 14	BEC-10	Proof of Delivery of Application for CEC and Transcripts to Public Library	64	123
15 16	BEC-11	Proof of Website Posting	73	123
17	BEC-12	Proof of Service to Affected Jurisdictions	68	123
18 19	BEC-13	Proof of Posting: Photos and Map of Notice of	64	123
20	BEC-14	Hearing Signs Informational Letters #1	70	123
21	BEC-14	and #2, dated February 10 and April 5, 2023		123
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	BEC-15	Summary of Public Outreach Efforts	79	123
24	BEC-16	Arizona Corporation Commission Staff Data	119	123
25		Request		

				161
1		INDEX TO EXHIB	ITS	
2	NO.	DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
3	BEC-17	Belmont Energy Center,	119	123
4		LLC Response Letter to Arizona Corporation Commission Staff		
5	BEC-18	System Impact Study	120	123
6	DEC 10	Attachment to Belmont Energy Center, LLC	120	123
7		Response Letter to		
8		Arizona Corporation Commission Staff		
9	BEC-19	Correspondence with Arizona State Historic	112	137
10		Preservation Office		
11	BEC-20	Response Letter from the Arizona Department	86	137
12		of Game and Fish		
13	BEC-21	Proposed Form of CEC-1	13	123
14	BEC-22	Response from Arizona Corporation Commission	121	123
15		Staff		
16	BEC-23	Route Itinerary and Map		123
17	BEC-24	Response E-mail from	89	123
18	220 21	the Arizona Department of Game and Fish		123
19	CHMN-1	Draft CEC	163	FOR
20	CHMI	DIAIC CEC	103	REFERENCE
21	CHMN-2	Redline CEC	163	FOR REFERENCE
22				
23				
24				
25				

**Evidentiary Hearing, Volume II - 05/16/2023** 162 1 BE IT REMEMBERED that the above-entitled and numbered matter came on regularly to be heard before 2 the Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting 3 Committee at Hilton Garden Inn, 11460 West Hilton Way, 4 5 Avondale, Arizona, commencing at 9:03 a.m. on the 16th of May, 2023. 6 7 ADAM STAFFORD, Chairman BEFORE: 8 GABBY SAUCEDO MERCER, Arizona Corporation Commission 9 LEONARD DRAGO, Department of Environmental Quality (via videoconference) DAVID FRENCH, Arizona Department of Water Resources 10 R. DAVID KRYDER, Agriculture MARGARET "TOBY" LITTLE, PE, General Public 11 SCOTT SOMERS, Cities and Towns (via videoconference) 12 13 **APPEARANCES:** For the Applicant: 14 15 CROCKETT LAW GROUP, PLLC Mr. Jeffrey Crockett 2198 East Camelback Road, Suite 305 16 Phoenix, Arizona 85016 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

	163
1	CHMN. STAFFORD: We're back for the hearing
2	on Line Siting Case 219.
3	There was no public comment last night. No
4	one showed up to make any statements.
5	Mr. Crockett, does the applicant have
6	anything further to add?
7	MR. CROCKETT: Chairman Stafford, Committee
8	Members, no, we don't. We've concluded with the
9	presentation of evidence and we're ready to move on to
10	looking at the draft CEC.
11	CHMN. STAFFORD: All right. Are you prepared
12	to put up the Word version on the one side and the PDF
13	version on the other side and
14	MR. CROCKETT: Yes, we are.
15	CHMN. STAFFORD: start working through it?
16	MR. CROCKETT: If the technology works. I'm
17	sure it will. Look at that.
18	CHMN. STAFFORD: All right. Excellent.
19	Well, Member Drago had an appointment this
20	morning, and he will be available after about 10:00.
21	So now that we're ready to review the Certificate and
22	begin voting, I think we're going to go ahead and take
23	a recess until he's available. And then as soon as
24	he's ready, we'll come back and vote.
25	MR. CROCKETT: Will you be voting paragraph

- 1 by paragraph, as you have in the past?
- CHMN. STAFFORD: Yes. The typical way, yes.
- 3 Exactly. We like to have as many Members here as we
- 4 can for it.
- 5 MR. CROCKETT: Will you wait to do that until
- 6 he's here?
- 7 CHMN. STAFFORD: No. No. Yeah, he'll be
- 8 here at 10:00. About 10:00 he'll be able to be
- 9 available online.
- MR. CROCKETT: Okay.
- 11 CHMN. STAFFORD: Member Somers, are you
- 12 there? Did you hear that?
- 13 MEMBER SOMERS: I'm here and I heard that,
- 14 yes. Thank you.
- 15 CHMN. STAFFORD: Okay. Great. So you know
- 16 you didn't miss anything last night with the public
- 17 comment, then?
- 18 MEMBER SOMERS: That -- yes, I heard that
- 19 too.
- 20 CHMN. STAFFORD: Okay. Excellent.
- MEMBER SOMERS: That's good.
- 22 CHMN. STAFFORD: So we're going to take a
- 23 brief recess -- well, I guess not brief, but a recess
- 24 until approximately 10:00, when we will have Member
- 25 Drago back with us. We stand in recess. Thank you.

	165
1	(Off the record from 9:05 a.m. to 10:21 a.m.)
2	CHMN. STAFFORD: Do we have Members Somers
3	and Drago on the WebEx or, Zoom?
4	MEMBER SOMERS: I am on.
5	CHMN. STAFFORD: Can you hear us, Member
6	Drago? We can't hear you.
7	MEMBER DRAGO: Can you hear me?
8	CHMN. STAFFORD: We can hear you now. Thank
9	you.
10	MEMBER DRAGO: All right. Thank you.
11	CHMN. STAFFORD: Members, are you ready to
12	discuss and vote on the CEC?
13	MEMBER LITTLE: Yes.
14	CHMN. STAFFORD: Can I get a motion?
15	MEMBER MERCER: So moved.
16	MEMBER LITTLE: Second.
17	CHMN. STAFFORD: All right. Now, the one on
18	the left is the one that we'll be working off of,
19	correct, Mr. Crockett? Is that the Word version?
20	MR. CROCKETT: The Word version is the left
21	screen and the filed version is the right screen.
22	CHMN. STAFFORD: And the draft PDF is
23	Exhibit 21, correct? No, it's yes, 21.
24	MR. CROCKETT: I'll tell you here.
25	CHMN. STAFFORD: Members, review the

I had a quick question on this.

It says

25

description.

- the project substation would occupy a roughly 30-acre site, and I think the application says a 50-acre site.
- But looking at the map, that doesn't seem proportional.
- 4 MR. CROCKETT: You know, and I'll ask

battery storage a total of about 50 acres.

- 5 Mr. Spencer to perhaps address that.
- MR. SPENCER: Yes. Mr. Chairman and Members
  of the Committee, the substation will take up
  approximately 30 acres, and in a combination with the
- 10 MEMBER KRYDER: 50?

- 11 MR. SPENCER: In combination of the two. 50
  12 for the storage and substation, but for the substation
  13 alone will be approximately 30 acres.
- CHMN. STAFFORD: All right. And then the area outlined there, that's larger than 50 acres, right? That's -- is that for the entire --
- MR. SPENCER: That's the entire solar project of 3,100 acres.
- 19 CHMN. STAFFORD: Okay. So what we're not
  20 seeing is the -- so if the square in the upper right
  21 corner is the 30 acres, what's the size of the entire
  22 site?
- 23 MR. SPENCER: The size of the entire site is 24 the 3,100 acres.
- 25 CHMN. STAFFORD: Okay.

	100
1	MR. SPENCER: And so, yes, to your point, the
2	red-and-black square is the approximate 30 acres.
3	CHMN. STAFFORD: Okay. And then the 50 acres
4	is including the storage thing, but not not the
5	solar array?
6	MR. SPENCER: That is correct.
7	CHMN. STAFFORD: Okay. That makes sense.
8	MEMBER LITTLE: Mr. Chairman, I move the
9	project description now.
10	MEMBER MERCER: Second.
11	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.
12	MEMBER FRENCH: Mr. Chairman.
13	CHMN. STAFFORD: Member French.
14	MEMBER FRENCH: I don't see any mention of
15	the subroute that we heard testimony about. Is the
16	route selection going to be dependent or shown on a
17	depiction of that route?
18	CHMN. STAFFORD: My understanding is that we
19	are looking we're approving the corridor if we
20	approve the corridor that's on the exhibit, which is
21	significantly large, I do think we want to add the
22	language from we'll get to that. That will come
23	down later on. I think it will be a new condition at
24	the end. But to reference specifically that, the
25	corridor is not exclusive, whereas the 200-foot

1 right-of-way would be. Is that correct, Mr. Crockett? MR. CROCKETT: That is correct. 2 Right. So those two routes, 3 CHMN. STAFFORD: I think what we're -- it could go in either of those 4 5 sections, depending on which side of the structures that the Flood Control District ultimately approves, 6 7 correct, Mr. Crockett? MR. CROCKETT: Yes, Chairman Stafford. 8 9 way I look at this is what would be approved is what is shown on Exhibit A, and Exhibit A shows the preferred 10 route with the subroute option on it, and those are 11 both located within the corridor. And so what the 12 Exhibit A would allow is for the applicant to opt --13 well, for either the preferred route or that subroute 14 15 that is shown on the map, but both -- but any of the route could be moved around within the corridor that's 16 shown in yellow on the map. And we do have -- to your 17 18 point, we have brought some language we can look at 19 that deals with the issue of locating the Gen-Tie 20 within the corridor. 21 CHMN. STAFFORD: Yeah, I believe it was Condition 23 from the HV Sunrise, is that what it was, 22 that case? 23 I had the language here. 24 That's right. MR. CROCKETT: Correct. 25 CHMN. STAFFORD: The thing is, though, do we

want to -- do we need an Exhibit B? Because when
they applied, they had this alternate route at the
bottom, which is no longer under consideration. So
Exhibit A --

I guess what's the pleasure of the Committee?

I mean, remember how we did it for the UniSource line when they had several different routes? So they had Exhibit A, which showed what they had proposed, and then B was what we ultimately approved. For this one -- I mean, we could potentially do that here. But in this one, the bottom route, it's not in the corridor.

MR. CROCKETT: And Mr. Chairman, the way I had envisioned handling that would be that when we submit the final map that will be approved and attached to the CEC, we would eliminate that southern option that is shown on the map. We included it for purposes of this hearing so that -- well, because, A, it was in the application, and B, because we had a landowner that we'd had discussions with and told them that we would remove that route as an option, so we wanted to show it on this map as being removed. But the map that we would submit, that would be attached to the final CEC, would not show that southern option.

MEMBER LITTLE: Mr. Chairman, I'm in

```
1
    agreement with that personally.
              CHMN. STAFFORD:
                                Member Little, yeah.
2
    wouldn't need an exhibit -- so it's the Committee's
3
    feeling that we wouldn't need two exhibits.
                                                  Exhibit A,
4
5
    with the removal of the withdrawn route, would be
    sufficient?
6
7
              MEMBER KRYDER:
                               I agree.
8
              MEMBER MERCER:
                               I agree.
9
              CHMN. STAFFORD:
                                All right.
                                            So you had a
    motion for the description and a second, or did we pass
10
11
    it already?
12
              MEMBER FRENCH:
                               No, we haven't voted.
13
              CHMN. STAFFORD:
                                That's right. You had a
    question after the second. Do you have any other
14
15
    questions, Member French?
              MEMBER FRENCH:
                               I would be more comfortable
16
    if, at some point, it doesn't necessarily have to be in
17
18
    this paragraph, some language that indicates the
    exhibit that will show the possible routes that can be
19
20
               Is that going to be shown later?
    selected.
21
              CHMN. STAFFORD: Well, this will be -- that's
    what this is here. I mean, if you look at the
22
23
    highlighted yellow portion of Exhibit 8 from the
24
    hearing -- this is the corridor, it's a significantly
    wide corridor.
25
```

MEMBER FRENCH: Right. I understand. At this point, there's no language indicating what this exhibit shows. And my question is: Do we need it? Because if memory serves me, typically in the description it will describe the route in general and it will reference the exhibit for the particular details on the route, but I could be wrong.

MR. CROCKETT: Well, and Chairman Stafford,
Member French, if I could respond to that. Earlier in
the description we do provide a description of where
the proposed Gen-Tie would originate, and then it walks
it through, and that description follows what we've
proposed here as the route in red on our Exhibit BEC-8.

The only thing that -- we've got two places. There's a subroute that exists over a portion of it along either side of the Flood Control District structure. And then as we're getting into the Delaney Substation, we have two options, one coming in from the east, one from the west. So without knowing specifically what we're going to pick, we thought that we would simply reference the Exhibit A, so that people could look at Exhibit A, and that would tell the story of what the routes -- the Gen-Tie routes looked like that we proposed. But again, this notion of a corridor, we can move that route around within the

corridor.

Now, this -- where we're showing the Gen-Tie is our best estimate, at this point in the planning, of where we think that's going to go, with just a couple of variables, the one around the Flood Control District structure and then getting into the Delaney Substation. We can -- we can certainly add language to the narrative here, if that's the pleasure of the Committee, to try to clarify that.

10 MEMBER LITTLE: Mr. Chairman.

CHMN. STAFFORD: Yes, Member Little.

MEMBER LITTLE: I see where Member French is coming from, and I think that his point is valid. If you just look at Exhibit A without looking at the, you know, the description of what everything is, it would appear, and people tend to do that often, just look at the picture, it would appear that you get two lines there at one point in that section.

CHMN. STAFFORD: Right. I think I agree.

MEMBER LITTLE: And I think maybe a description of the fact that Exhibit A shows two alternative routes, only one of which -- you guys -- you legal guys can come up with the language better. But I agree with Member French that --

CHMN. STAFFORD: It should have some more

- 1 descriptive language.
- 2 MEMBER LITTLE: I think we only need one
- 3 exhibit, but I do think that it needs to be better
- 4 described.
- MEMBER FRENCH: And Chairman, I think
- 6 something along the lines of -- after the sentence of,
- 7 "depending on the final alignment," it can be something
- 8 along the lines of, located within the corridor are two
- 9 route options, and one will be eliminated upon the
- 10 final determination.
- 11 MR. CROCKETT: Okay. So located within the
- 12 corridor are two route -- or, yeah, route options,
- 13 comma, and one will be eliminated at the time the final
- 14 route is determined?
- 15 CHMN. STAFFORD: Well, I think you want to
- 16 say right-of-way, because this is the route. The whole
- 17 route is going to be encompassed in this -- in the
- 18 corridor.
- 19 MR. CROCKETT: The final right-of-way is
- 20 determined?
- 21 CHMN. STAFFORD: I think a different --
- 22 different word. Because it's -- because the corridor
- 23 is quite broad, and there's -- and the line can either
- 24 -- I mean, it traverses south, southeast, it's going to
- 25 take one of two paths on either side of the Flood

District structure. So looking at the map, you could 1 build the line anywhere in that corridor, but it's got 2 to be a 200-foot right-of-way, and you don't have 3 exclusive rights to anything but that right-of-way, not 4 the corridor. But the reason for the broad corridor is 5 because there's needed flexibility because of the land 6 7 you have to traverse, and then specifically at the substation -- at the Delaney Substation, because of the 8 9 other number of potential -- existing and potential interties. 10 11 MEMBER LITTLE: Mr. Chairman. 12 CHMN. STAFFORD: Yes, Member Little. In my mind, it might -- the 13 MEMBER LITTLE: language might better be placed when -- after Exhibit A 14 is referenced, because really what we're trying to do 15 16 is explain Exhibit A here. CHMN. STAFFORD: Yeah, I think that's -- I 17 18 concur. 19 MEMBER LITTLE: Because we're not -- and, you know, over at the Delaney Substation and there through 20 21 the floodplain are the two places where two routes are Everywhere else -- that line can be moved 22 shown. 23 anywhere else also, but we don't show any other places

So perhaps we can say, Exhibit A shows the

that you think it might be moved to on Exhibit A.

24

corridor, and within that corridor two optional routes, 1 one of which would be abandoned or eliminated, period. 2 MEMBER MERCER: Mr. Chairman. 3 MEMBER LITTLE: I don't know if that -- I 4 don't know if that makes sense. 5 That's just off the top of my head. 6 Yes, Member Mercer. 7 CHMN. STAFFORD: Let me see if I understand. 8 MEMBER MERCER: 9 Mr. Crockett mentioned something about one of the routes will be eliminated based on something --10 11 MR. CROCKETT: Final selection of -- or, final engineering, final selection of the route. 12 13 That's the concept, that once the right-of-way -- and maybe the reference to the right-of-way is the 14 15 appropriate thing. But once we know exactly where that 16 line is going, we want to make sure the public understands that the other one will be moot, 17 18 essentially. 19 MEMBER MERCER: And is that based on some 20 landowner or the Flood issue? 21 MR. CROCKETT: It's based on a variety of 22 It's based on integrating with other solar things. 23 developers in the area that have lines, it's based on 24 working with the Flood Control District to see which is

their preference, which side of that structure it would

- be located on, and potentially perhaps some engineering 1 issues may be involved as well. So it's that basket of 2 things that's keeping us right now from saying exactly 3 which option we're going to pick.
- 5 MEMBER LITTLE: Maybe we could say, after the word routes, optional routes, only one of which will be 6 7 selected or only one of which will...
- MR. SPENCER: Mr. Chairman and Members of the 8 9 Committee, may I suggest --
- 10 CHMN. STAFFORD: Yes, Mr. Spencer.

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

selected.

- 11 MR. SPENCER: -- some verbiage? Maybe we can say that a final continuous alignment will be selected, 12 with any subroutes or additional routing removed. 13 Yeah, a single contiguous alignment or route will be 14
- MEMBER LITTLE: 16 I'm glad I'm not the one 17 sitting over there trying to type this up.
  - MR. CROCKETT: Let's see. How about if we say, within the corridor shown on Exhibit A, so we start -- start up, Anna, at the beginning before Exhibit A. Within the corridor shown on Exhibit A are alternative route options, period. When a final route is determined, the alternative route options will be moot or will be -- I don't want to say eliminated. They will be --

	170
1	MEMBER LITTLE: Abandoned.
2	MR. CROCKETT: irrelevant or they will
3	become they will be abandoned or that suggests
4	that it's hard.
5	CHMN. STAFFORD: I don't know. Maybe if we
6	say that the approved corridor will accommodate both
7	the preferred route and the alternate
8	MR. CROCKETT: Or maybe it says it will
9	accommodate either the preferred route or the
10	alternative, but not both.
11	CHMN. STAFFORD: Right. And then the
12	preferred Gen-Tie or the alternative Gen-Tie routes.
13	MR. CROCKETT: I think Mr. Petry may have a
14	suggestion here.
15	MR. PETRY: If I may, Mr. Chairman.
16	CHMN. STAFFORD: Yes, Mr. Petry.
17	MR. PETRY: I think what Mr. Spencer
18	indicated earlier, if we were to focus more on the fact
19	that there will be a single alignment for the entirety
20	of the route, as opposed to describing all the options,
21	that might be a little more efficient for us here.
22	Because not only do we have the subroute option, but
23	the two interconnection options into Delaney as well.
24	So avoiding having to describe each of those, perhaps
25	we could focus only on the single alignment that would

- 1 ultimately be decided upon. Mr. Chair, I think that's 2 MEMBER SOMERS: a -- I think that's an excellent approach to this. 3 Thank you, Member Somers. 4 CHMN. STAFFORD: MR. CROCKETT: So is that language something 5 we want to put up on the screen? 6 CHMN. STAFFORD: All right. So where -- so 7 8 up to where the cursor is, do the Members like that 9 language? So it seems like -- well, back down to --10 but not both. So we want to add a sentence there, Mr. Petry? 11 12 MEMBER MERCER: Mr. Chairman. 13 CHMN. STAFFORD: Yes, Member Mercer. MEMBER MERCER: I think if we just said, 14 15 preferred route or the alternative, but not both, when the final single continuous alignment and route will be 16 That way we have his wording and our 17 selected.
- MEMBER KRYDER: By the way, could you increase the font size just a bit, please? Thank y
- 21 A little more would be helpful.

wording.

- 22 MEMBER LITTLE: I think "is" instead of
- 23 "would" in that last -- is selected.
- 24 MEMBER MERCER: Will, will be selected.
- 25 CHMN. STAFFORD: Or has been selected.

	100
1	Mr. Spencer.
2	MR. SPENCER: Mr. Chairman and Members, my
3	only concern is I understand we in the exhibit we
4	have the alternate Gen-Tie, which is the one that's
5	eliminated, and here we're saying preferred route or
6	the alternative. I just don't want there to be any
7	confusion there.
8	CHMN. STAFFORD: Right. So you're speaking
9	about the
10	MR. SPENCER: Yes, sir.
11	CHMN. STAFFORD: Well, my thought is that
12	when we come to vote on what Exhibit A looks like, this
13	will not be in it.
14	MR. SPENCER: Okay. Understood.
15	CHMN. STAFFORD: Would that address that
16	concern?
17	MR. SPENCER: Yes, sir. And maybe we can
18	relabel the preferred subroute to alternative or
19	something. I just
20	CHMN. STAFFORD: Right. Because basically
21	we're authorizing you to build this line, it can go on
22	either side of the Flood structure, and you can
23	enter the Delaney Substation from either side.
24	MR. SPENCER: Yes, sir.
25	CHMN. STAFFORD: That's what we're approving

181 if -- assuming that we ultimately vote that way. 1 I'm just saying, that's what the CEC would approve. 2 So this is discontinued, so this would not be shown on 3 Exhibit A. 4 5 MR. SPENCER: Yes, sir. CHMN. STAFFORD: So it's clear we're talking 6 about this -- the line can go here or here or 7 8 here, depending on the circumstances and the 9 engineering and the landowner's agreeability. 10 MR. SPENCER: Yes, sir. 11 CHMN. STAFFORD: Thanks. 12 Mr. Crockett. MR. CROCKETT: Could we -- and I would ask if 13 we can add some language. When it says, when a final 14 route is determined, the alternative route options 15 shown on Exhibit A will be abandoned. 16 The approved corridor will accommodate either the preferred route 17 18 or, how about, an alternative. 19 How about if you just say, MEMBER LITTLE: 20 will accommodate one route. 21 MR. CROCKETT: Will accommodate one route --22 MEMBER LITTLE: But not both. 23 MR. CROCKETT: -- but not both, when the 24 final single continuous alignment has been selected.

So, Mr. Spencer, Ms. Simons, do we have any

```
1
    lingering concerns about that language?
              MEMBER LITTLE:
                              How about, when a final
2
    route is determined, one of the two alternatives
3
    shown on Exhibit A will be abandoned.
                                            The approved
4
    corridor will accommodate one route, but not both.
5
    of the two options, because that's what they're called
6
7
    on the -- they're called options on the map -- or, on
8
    Exhibit A. Does that sound too kludgy? It's getting
9
    kind of --
                          Mr. Chairman, if I may.
10
              MR. PETRY:
11
                                Yes, Mr. Petry.
              CHMN. STAFFORD:
              MR. PETRY: Mr. Chairman, Member Little, my
12
    thinking with that would be we could potentially say,
13
    when a final route is determined, one of the two
14
15
    alternative options shown on Exhibit A will be
                The approved corridor will accommodate one
16
    abandoned.
    of the identified options when the final single
17
18
    continuous alignment has been selected. And the reason
    I would suggest that, again, is because we have the two
19
    interconnection options, as well as the subroute
20
21
    option.
22
              CHMN. STAFFORD:
                                That was my thought.
                                                      Ι
23
    think we should be more specific when we talk about,
24
    within the corridor shown on Exhibit A are two
    alternative route options, and then go and describe the
25
```

preferred Gen-Tie line and the preferred Gen-Tie line subroute and then interconnection Option A and B, and all those options are permitted under the CEC, any combination of those --

MR. PETRY: Yes.

CHMN. STAFFORD: -- four variables.

MR. CROCKETT: And Chairman Stafford, I mean, I have a little different perspective on that. We have two options, sounds like the two options on either side of the Flood Control channel. We really have the two options there and then the two options into the substation.

CHMN. STAFFORD: Right.

MR. CROCKETT: So what if we said, and we won't type this right now, but when a final route is determined, the alternative option shown on Exhibit A will be abandoned. The approved corridor will accommodate one of the identified options when the final -- well, will accommodate -- the approved corridor will accommodate a final -- a single continuous alignment, or something like that.

MEMBER LITTLE: How about if we just -- I like the first part of the sentence that you came up with, Mr. Crockett, but how about if we just eliminate the whole part of it after the semicolon and use

Mr. Spencer's language about the final single 1 continuous alignment. Do you remember what it was? 2 MR. SPENCER: Not quite. I can conjure it 3 again, though. 4 5 MR. CROCKETT: We could have the court reporter read it back if we need to, if that would be 6 7 helpful, Mr. Spencer. CHMN. STAFFORD: Well, I think it would be 8 9 helpful to go back to the sentence, within the corridor shown on Exhibit A are alternative route options. 10 think we should add a description of what those four --11 I quess there's two sections that can go two different 12 13 ways, and make it clear that either of the -- any of those -- any combination of those is permissible under 14 15 the CEC. 16 MEMBER LITTLE: Any combination is permissible to --17 18 CHMN. STAFFORD: For the construction of the single line. 19 Right. 20 MEMBER LITTLE: For the construction 21 of the single continuous alignment selected. And then 22 just --23 MR. CROCKETT: How about any combination of 24 which is permissible for the construction of the single

continuous alignment, period, or maybe construction of

a single continuous alignment. When a final route is 1 determined -- I still like -- when a final route is 2 determined, I still would say, the alternative option 3 shown on A will be abandoned, instead of one of the. 4 And then do we need that final clause? 5 CHMN. STAFFORD: I still think we need more 6 detail after the alternative route options. 7 It needs to describe the two variables that we're talking about, 8 9 the Gen-Tie line and the interconnection options. I think they should both -- it should say, the line, 10 alternate line route, and then the alternate 11 12 interconnection options. MR. PETRY: Mr. Chairman, if I may. 13 CHMN. STAFFORD: Yes, Mr. Petry. 14 15 MR. PETRY: Thank you. I just wanted to mention that I think ultimately what is potentially 16 being approved here is a corridor within which a 17 18 200-foot right-of-way can be sited nearly anywhere, 19 right, based on engineering -- final engineering and 20 site conditions, et cetera. So I want to be careful 21 not to limit what is permissible only to those options 22 that are shown on the map now. Ultimately, we're seeking a line somewhere within this corridor. 23 24 think we want to be careful about how we state that

such that we're not limiting anything potential only to

- those options shown on the map today. Ultimately, it's within that corridor.
  - CHMN. STAFFORD: Right. I understand what you're saying. Yeah. Because those lines, where they're drawn on the map, they may -- they can move in either direction, depending on what the circumstances of the route are.
- 8 MR. PETRY: Yes, sir.

- MR. CROCKETT: And I share that concern,
  Mr. Chairman. I think if we're too fixated on the
  specific route and the options, it maybe suggests to
  people that that's where it's going to go and it kind
  of cuts against the notion of being able to have the
  flexibility to maneuver within the corridor.
- MEMBER LITTLE: Would it be possible to eliminate the options and just show one --
- 17 CHMN. STAFFORD: Well, they have to cross on
  18 either -- they have to be -- the line is going to be on
  19 one side or the other of that Flood Control District
  20 structure.
  - MR. CROCKETT: And Chairman Stafford, Member Little, I think that, again, showing the public more information on the map is probably better. And if the map is clear, and I think it is, when we eliminate the southern preferred option on here I think people

Ι

looking at this and reading the legend will understand 1 that there's two places where there's two alternatives 2 within the corridor. Again, I'm reluctant to get too 3 specific on addressing the actual Gen-Tie route and 4 5 options, because it would detract from focusing on the corridor concept. 6 7 CHMN. STAFFORD: Maybe if we say, after 200 feet, while Exhibit A illustrates different 8 9 possible routes, the line can be built -- the single line can be built anywhere within the corridor. 10 11 MR. CROCKETT: How about, this -- so while Exhibit A illustrates different possible routes, 12 this --13 Within the corridor. CHMN. STAFFORD: 14 15 MR. CROCKETT: -- within the corridor, this Certificate -- and before we type this out, let me just 16 say this -- this Certificate authorizes construction of 17 18 a single continuous Gen-Tie route. 19 CHMN. STAFFORD: And interconnection. 20 MR. CROCKETT: Thoughts on that over on the 21 other side of the room? MR. SPENCER: I think that's an accurate 22 23 reflection of what we're trying to get approved here, 24 is just a single line within a corridor with the

flexibility to move that line within that corridor.

- 1 think that captures that accurately.
- 2 MR. CROCKETT: So let's try to draft some
- 3 language. So where your cursor is, this Certificate
- 4 authorizes the construction of a single continuous
- 5 Gen-Tie --
- 6 CHMN. STAFFORD: And interconnection.
- 7 MR. CROCKETT: -- Gen-Tie and interconnection
- 8 to the Delaney Substation.
- 9 CHMN. STAFFORD: There you go. Period.
- 10 MR. CROCKETT: And then everything else goes
- 11 away after that.
- 12 CHMN. STAFFORD: Is there anything else in
- there that the Members think we should keep? Maybe
- 14 read it here for a second before I form an opinion.
- 15 MEMBER LITTLE: Mr. Chairman, I think that's
- 16 fine.
- 17 CHMN. STAFFORD: One second, please.
- 18 All right. I think we can stop after
- 19 substation.
- 20 Members. Member Little, do you have thoughts
- 21 on that?
- 22 MEMBER LITTLE: I think that's fine. Thank
- 23 you.
- MR. CROCKETT: Yeah, Chairman Stafford,
- 25 that -- I'm getting the thumbs up from our side on

189 1 that. CHMN. STAFFORD: Are you going to strike that 2 3 gray area now? MEMBER LITTLE: Member French. 4 MEMBER FRENCH: I'm just comparing it to what 5 we had when we originally started. 6 7 CHMN. STAFFORD: Do you want to add, anywhere 8 within that corridor, at the -- after substation. 9 MEMBER MERCER: Mr. Chairman. Member Mercer. 10 CHMN. STAFFORD: MEMBER MERCER: I guess, for future 11 reference, it would be better for the Committee and the 12 13 public to -- if the applicant identifies the different routes. Like the last hearing that we had, we had A, 14 15 That helps us and is in writing. B, or C. CHMN. STAFFORD: Yes, I think that numbering 16 convention of the different sections with alternate 17 18 parts to it makes more sense. Because I understand, you 19 MEMBER MERCER: know, they don't want to close the doors on what they 20 21 can do within the corridor, but it still is not clear. CHMN. STAFFORD: Yeah. But in this 22 23 situation, we are leaving it up to the applicant to 24 decide -- well, based on the landowners and

engineering, based on what else is going on in the

- area, it's not -- because in that UniSource case you're 1 remembering, that was -- we decided -- they had 2 alternates, and we picked them for them. 3 MEMBER MERCER: 4 Yes. CHMN. STAFFORD: This is saying they can do 5 either one instead of --6 7 MEMBER MERCER: Okay. 8 CHMN. STAFFORD: So it's a little bit 9 different. But I think we can make -- maybe we can -when we come to Exhibit A to adopt it, we're going to 10 make some changes to that too that maybe can make it 11 12 more clear. 13 MEMBER MERCER: Okay. MR. CROCKETT: We do hear the concern about 14 15 the naming, and for future we will look at that. And I think you're right, there is a better way that we can 16 perhaps label these things so that we can talk about 17 18 them at a hearing in a way that's a little easier. 19 MEMBER MERCER: Yeah. I just want it to be 20 clear for when you made your final decision or, you 21 know, your final -- what it's going to be built upon so 22 it is in writing, we're eliminating route A or B. 23 That's my --
- 24 CHMN. STAFFORD: Yeah. But see, because they
- 25 had -- it was even more complicated when they started,

before they took out the straight line running across 1 the south. Then it would have been -- you know, but 2 we're going to remove that from Exhibit A, so that 3 won't even be in there. So it will just be, here is 4 5 the corridor that's approved, so they can build anywhere within that corridor, and here is some 6 7 demonstrations of different options that can be in there, but either is permissible, because they need the 8 9 flexibility to work with the Flood Control District and the utility and other entities that are trying to tie 10 into that Delaney Substation. Because there needs to 11 be -- because there's quite a few lines going in there 12 13 now, and there's more rights-of-way that are in 14 process. 15 MEMBER MERCER: That was my only concern. But do you feel like 16 CHMN. STAFFORD: Okay. this would -- this adequately addresses that concern 17 18 and that's something you'd like to see in future cases, or is this something we need to do to the language in 19 20 this document? 21 MEMBER KRYDER: Mr. Chairman. Yes, Member Kryder. 22 CHMN. STAFFORD: Please 23 speak into the microphone. 24 MEMBER KRYDER: The underlined section up 25 above there, I think if we would make that, located

	192
1	within, perhaps that would work. There you go.
2	MEMBER LITTLE: Mr. Chairman, I think that
3	that takes care of my issues with it.
4	CHMN. STAFFORD: All right. Can I get a
5	motion to adopt as amended?
6	MEMBER LITTLE: I so move.
7	MEMBER FRENCH: Second.
8	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.
9	(A chorus of ayes.)
10	CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed.
11	(No response.)
12	CHMN. STAFFORD: Hearing none, the
13	description is adopted.
14	Moving on to conditions.
15	MEMBER LITTLE: Mr. Chairman, I move
16	Condition Number 1.
17	MEMBER FRENCH: Second.
18	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.
19	(A chorus of ayes.)
20	CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed.
21	(No response.)
22	CHMN. STAFFORD: Condition 1 is adopted.
23	Condition 2. I think we can accept the
24	change from Cochise to Maricopa County.
25	MEMBER MERCER: I move Condition 2.

	193
1	MEMBER LITTLE: Second.
2	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.
3	(A chorus of ayes.)
4	CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed.
5	(No response.)
6	CHMN. STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 2 is
7	adopted.
8	Condition 3.
9	MEMBER LITTLE: I move Condition 3.
10	MEMBER FRENCH: Second.
11	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.
12	(A chorus of ayes.)
13	CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed.
14	(No response.)
15	CHMN. STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 3 is
16	adopted.
17	Condition 4. I think you can go ahead and
18	make the county change as we go through.
19	MEMBER FRENCH: Move Condition 4.
20	MEMBER LITTLE: Second.
21	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.
22	(A chorus of ayes.)
23	CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed.
24	(No response.)
25	CHMN. STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 4 is

	194	
1	adopted.	
2	MEMBER FRENCH: Move Condition 5.	
3	MEMBER MERCER: Second.	
4	CHMN. STAFFORD: And we'll remove the	
5	interconnection and the CEC reference, of course.	
6	All in favor, say aye.	
7	(A chorus of ayes.)	
8	CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed.	
9	(No response.)	
10	CHMN. STAFFORD: Hearing none, 5 is adopted.	
11	Condition 6.	
12	MEMBER MERCER: I move Condition 6.	
13	MEMBER LITTLE: Second.	
14	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.	
15	(A chorus of ayes.)	
16	CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed.	
17	(No response.)	
18	CHMN. STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 6 is	
19	adopted.	
20	Condition 7.	
21	MEMBER LITTLE: Mr. Chairman, I move	
22	Condition 7, but I would like to amend it.	
23	CHMN. STAFFORD: Can I get a second?	
24	MEMBER KRYDER: Aye.	
25	CHMN. STAFFORD: That was a second from	

	195
1	Member Kryder?
2	MEMBER KRYDER: That was a second, yes.
3	CHMN. STAFFORD: What amendments would you
4	like to make, Member Little?
5	MEMBER LITTLE: After the first sentence, I
6	would like to add a sentence that basically
7	incorporates what the applicant put in their
8	application about the Class III cultural resources. So
9	the language that I propose is, the applicant will
LO	complete a Class III cultural resources inventory of
L1	the portions of the project area that have not been
L2	previously adequately surveyed to identify and evaluate
L3	the cultural resources that may be present.
L <b>4</b>	MEMBER KRYDER: This is an entire sentence to
L5	be inserted between the first sentence and the second?
L6	MEMBER LITTLE: Yes.
L7	MEMBER KRYDER: Thank you.
L8	MEMBER LITTLE: And that's just the reason
L9	I'm doing that is just to put into the CEC what the
20	applicant has said they would do about historical
21	resources.
22	CHMN. STAFFORD: I'm seeing nods and thumbs
23	up.
24	MR. CROCKETT: I'm asking for a thumbs up
25	over there. I see Mr. Petry, he's the one who would

	197
1	CHMN. STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 8 is
2	adopted.
3	Number 9.
4	MEMBER KRYDER: Mr. Chairman, I move
5	Condition 9 be approved.
6	MEMBER LITTLE: Second.
7	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.
8	(A chorus of ayes.)
9	CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed.
10	(No response.)
11	CHMN. STAFFORD: Condition 9 is approved.
12	10.
13	MEMBER LITTLE: Move Condition 10.
14	MEMBER KRYDER: Second.
15	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.
16	(A chorus of ayes.)
17	CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed.
18	(No response.)
19	CHMN. STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 10
20	is adopted.
21	Condition 11. Now, are we to assume that the
22	signs will be posted in the same locations they are
23	currently?
24	MR. CROCKETT: I would assume that that's
25	what will happen.

	198
1	Mr. Petry, do you have a response on that, or
2	Mr. Spencer?
3	MR. SPENCER: Yes. Mr. Chairman and Members,
4	I would like to say that, you know, the approval
5	specifically on the private land is by the landowner.
6	So if they have a request for us to change that
7	position due to farming operations or something like
8	that, that could occur. But at this time, we
9	anticipate the signs being in the same location.
10	CHMN. STAFFORD: Members, any thoughts,
11	questions?
12	(No response.)
13	MEMBER FRENCH: I'll move Condition 11.
14	MEMBER MERCER: Second.
15	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.
16	(A chorus of ayes.)
17	CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed.
18	(No response.)
19	CHMN. STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 11
20	is adopted.
21	Number 12. We can substitute the Maricopa
22	for oh, you did it already. Excellent.
23	MEMBER KRYDER: I have a question about this,
24	Mr. Chairman.
25	CHMN. STAFFORD: Yes, Member Kryder. Please

1 ask away.

MEMBER KRYDER: The question would be: A statement here that such and so is going to take place, how is this reported and managed in the event that it doesn't take place?

CHMN. STAFFORD: Well, the CEC will expire in 10 years if nothing happens.

MEMBER KRYDER: No. What I mean is, this says, correct me if I'm wrong, 90 days before construction, certain things are going to take place, notifying landowners, blah, blah, blah. Okay. In the event that -- not that this would happen, or certainly no indication that it would -- that the project manager said, I just don't have time, who monitors that and how do we know that it takes place?

CHMN. STAFFORD: Well, the Corporation

Commission will monitor it. They are -- under this,
one of the conditions is that they file compliance
filings annually with the Commission saying what
conditions have been met, which ones haven't been met,
and why. So if they -- and then it would be up to the
Commission to pursue any kind of enforcement action.

MEMBER KRYDER: Okay. So it will be reported to the Corporation Commission and somebody will be keeping an eye that it does, in fact, take place?

1 CHMN. STAFFORD: Yes, that is my understanding. 2 Mr. Crockett, are we on the same page here? 3 MR. CROCKETT: Yeah, that's exactly right. 4 5 Yeah. And just for purposes of reference, we're going to be coming up on Condition 18 in a few minutes here. 6 7 That's the section that deals with the annual 8 compliance certification. 9 MEMBER KRYDER: Right. So I'm typically the one that 10 MR. CROCKETT: ends up filing those. They get sent to me by the 11 applicant and I file them in the docket. And it's 12 13 generally in the form of a spreadsheet and it identifies condition by condition and what happened to 14 15 comply with that. So, for example, on this one we're looking at, Number 12, in the first certification 16 report that we file, which will be due, we're 17 18 proposing, on August 1, 2024 -- is that right? should probably be 2025, actually. We'll talk about 19 20 that in a minute. 21 CHMN. STAFFORD: We can address that when we 22 get to Condition 18. 23 MR. CROCKETT: I think that was a typo. 24 in any event, it would indicate in that -- on that item 25 that, yes, in fact, we did notify the County on such

## **Evidentiary Hearing, Volume II - 05/16/2023**

	202
1	14, Mr. Chairman.
2	MEMBER LITTLE: Second.
3	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.
4	(A chorus of ayes.)
5	CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed.
6	(No response.)
7	CHMN. STAFFORD: Hearing none, Number 14 is
8	adopted.
9	Condition 15.
10	MEMBER LITTLE: I move Condition 15,
11	Mr. Chairman.
12	MEMBER KRYDER: Second.
13	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.
14	(A chorus of ayes.)
15	CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed.
16	(No response.)
17	CHMN. STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 15
18	is adopted.
19	Number 16.
20	MEMBER LITTLE: I particularly move Condition
21	16, Mr. Chairman.
22	MEMBER FRENCH: Second.
23	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.
24	(A chorus of ayes.)
25	CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed.

203 1 (No response.) CHMN. STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 16 2 3 is adopted. Number 17. 4 5 MEMBER LITTLE: Mr. Chairman, I move Condition 17, and then I have a question. 6 7 MEMBER FRENCH: Second. 8 CHMN. STAFFORD: What's your question, 9 Member Little? I didn't hear any discussion 10 MEMBER LITTLE: of any known pipelines in the area. Are there -- do 11 12 you guys know of any that are gas pipelines? 13 MEMBER KRYDER: Speak into your mic just a little more. 14 15 MEMBER LITTLE: I'm sorry. I didn't hear any 16 discussion of any known pipelines in the area. Do you guys know of any? Not that it --17 18 MR. PETRY: There is some natural gas pipeline infrastructure within the region. We have not 19 mapped that. So no details on the specific pipeline 20 21 infrastructure within the region, Member Little. 22 MEMBER LITTLE: Thank you. 23 CHMN. STAFFORD: So 17 has been moved and 24 seconded. All in favor, say aye. 25 (A chorus of ayes.)

204 1 CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed. 2 (No response.) Hearing none, Condition 17 3 CHMN. STAFFORD: is adopted. 4 5 Condition 18. MEMBER MERCER: I move Condition 18. 6 7 MEMBER FRENCH: Second. 8 CHMN. STAFFORD: All right. Now, it says 9 August 1st, 2024. Mr. Crockett, you were saying it should have been 2025? 10 11 MR. CROCKETT: Yeah. I plugged in August because I was guesstimating when the Commission might 12 13 approve this, and I wanted to put the first compliance out about a year -- I just didn't change the 2024. 14 15 we would recommend that it be August 1, 2025. Mr. Chairman. 16 MEMBER LITTLE: Yes, Member Little. 17 CHMN. STAFFORD: 18 MEMBER LITTLE: That's two years out, right, 19 from when the CEC would be approved? MR. CROCKETT: Yeah. What year is this? 20 I 21 guess you're right. So I stand corrected, it is 2024. We've been talking about Line Siting cases coming up, 22 23 and I'm in the wrong year, so I -- so, yeah, 2024 is 24 the right year. I apologize. 25 CHMN. STAFFORD: All right. So August 1st,

	206
1	(No response.)
2	CHMN. STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 20
3	is adopted.
4	21.
5	MEMBER KRYDER: Move approval, Mr. Chairman,
6	with the modification of Maricopa for Cochise.
7	MEMBER LITTLE: Second.
8	MEMBER MERCER: Second.
9	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.
10	(A chorus of ayes.)
11	CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed.
12	(No response.)
13	CHMN. STAFFORD: Hearing none, 21 is adopted.
14	22.
15	MEMBER KRYDER: I move approval of 22,
16	Mr. Chairman, but I'd like to speak to it if it's
17	seconded.
18	MEMBER LITTLE: Second.
19	CHMN. STAFFORD: Take it away, Mr. Kryder.
20	MEMBER KRYDER: Okay. I'm going to direct
21	this to Mr. Crockett. Help me understand what's going
22	on here.
23	MR. CROCKETT: So, Chairman Stafford,
24	Member Kryder, what's going on with this provision,
25	this is a standard provision that's included in, I

think, all of the CECs that I've seen. And these 1 projects, and perhaps not this project specifically, 2 but sometimes these will be developed by a developer 3 and then the project will be sold to another entity. 4 And so what this authorizes is the assignment of the 5 CEC to another entity, but that entity has to agree to 6 7 accept all of the responsibilities of the CEC that are laid out in this -- in this Certificate, including all 8 9 of the compliance items. The Arizona Corporation Commission has a form on its website that specifically 10 is filled out when a CEC is being transferred, so it's 11 not unusual for one to be transferred. 12 That's not 13 envisioned here, because NextEra keeps these projects and builds them, but that's the purpose behind that 14 15 condition. What I don't understand --16 MEMBER KRYDER: that's what I understood too when I read it. What I 17 18 don't understand is, it says the current applicant sells, leases, or whatever to Party X, and Party X has 19 a responsibility of everything that the applicant had, 20 21 right? 22 MR. CROCKETT: Correct. 23 MEMBER KRYDER: But it also says then that 24 the applicant doesn't get rid of the responsibility. 25 Correct me if I'm wrong.

1 MR. CROCKETT: No, that's the way this reads. That's correct. 2 3 MEMBER KRYDER: And that's the way -- that's standard? When I sell -- when I sell a property, if 4 5 I've had an easement next to my house for my neighbor to park his RV, and then I drop dead, my family sells 6 7 the property, the family no longer has any 8 responsibility because the title changed. But in this 9 you're saying that the applicant, whatever, still has all the responsibilities, in addition to the neighbor 10 having all the responsibilities or the new owner. 11 Help 12 me understand how that happens. MR. CROCKETT: Well, that's the way this 13 condition has developed and has been included in prior 14 15 I would assume that this particular applicant 16 would be happy to see that language eliminated. MEMBER KRYDER: 17 I agree. If I were his 18 attorney, I would agree. 19 MR. CROCKETT: Yeah. But again, as we go from case to case, basically we start with the last 20 21 decision and then we make whatever modifications would need to be made. Just the history of this Committee 22 23 has been that once a condition is adopted, that 24 condition tends to get carried over from case to case, 25 unless there's a specific reason to modify it.

1 So from -- you're exactly right. From my perspective, as an attorney representing this client, I 2 would be happy to see that language go away. 3 But we certainly don't have any concern about the language the 4 way it's drafted here, because the plan certainly is 5 that we would hang onto this project and develop it. 6 7 MEMBER KRYDER: Thank you very much. If you 8 don't worry about it, I don't worry about it, but it's 9 stinky language. CHMN. STAFFORD: I think it's -- does it stem 10 from the Commission wanting to not let somebody off the 11 I mean, the way I see it is that if you sell the 12 13 asset, you had to get the new owner to agree to abide by conditions. But if they don't, the Commission has 14 15 recourse against that entity, and yours as well, and 16 presumably, under your contract, any claims -- any claims upon the Commission against your entity, you'd 17 18 have a claim against the successor entity. So I think 19 it's just for more -- more --20 MR. CROCKETT: Belt and suspenders. 21 Yeah, belt and suspenders CHMN. STAFFORD: It's like if we -- because what if 22 kind of approach. 23 the successor entity goes bankrupt. 24 MR. CROCKETT: Right. 25 CHMN. STAFFORD: Then they'd have no

recourse. They could still come after you, and that way they would be able to compel compliance.

MR. CROCKETT: And Chairman Stafford, I agree with that. And really, I'm not sure how much recourse this provision provides anyway. If, for example, Belmont were to sell this project to someone, I'm not sure what the Commission could do to Belmont for a violation of this condition. But I think it is -- like I said, it's belt and suspenders. It's trying to make sure that there is compliance with the conditions of these Certificates. And by leaving the original right holder under the Certificate responsible for compliance, it's just one more person to make sure it gets done.

CHMN. STAFFORD: I think that was the driving concern at the Commission was to make sure that compliance happens. It's less important who makes it happen, as long as it does.

MEMBER KRYDER: It's unethical and it's stinky language; but if you aren't worried about it, it ain't my problem. But it is unethical. If I sell something, you can't come back and claim that I need to paint it a different color.

MR. CROCKETT: And Chairman Stafford, Member
Kryder, I would just respond -- one more thing.

There's no -- there's no Commission approval required to assign a CEC.

MEMBER KRYDER: Right.

4 MR. CROCKETT: You complete the form, you docket it, and --

6 MEMBER KRYDER: Pay the money.

MR. CROCKETT: -- it's done. And so maybe this -- and I don't know how the language originally evolved, but maybe it's a recognition that because the Commission really doesn't have any say over these getting assigned, they want to hang on -- they want to keep the original certificate holder on the hook for that compliance.

MEMBER KRYDER: I'll zip my lip after this.

Okay. If this is an attempt to keep the applicant, in this case Belmont, from selling it to Company X out here with some -- just to get rid of it, for whatever reason, and Company X goes bankrupt and Belmont then is on the hook, first, I consider that a violation of property and thereby unethical. Second is, to just put more language in the law is like writing an extension on the speed limit. It doesn't make better drivers and it doesn't make happy cops; it confuses the stinking issue.

And the solution to that, in this case, if

there's a real concern about it, have them put up an 1 X-million-dollar bond, and a bond is a bond is a bond, 2 and we don't have to worry about whether Belmont goes 3 belly up or goes to wherever. 4 5 Zip my lip. Okay. I'm done. But I'm glad that you don't oppose it. I certainly would for you. 6 7 Go ahead. 8 CHMN. STAFFORD: So has 22 been moved and 9 seconded? MEMBER LITTLE: 10 Yes. 11 CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye. 12 (A chorus of ayes.) 13 CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed. (No response.) 14 15 CHMN. STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 22 is adopted. 16 And I would propose that we add a new 17 18 Condition 23. 19 MR. CROCKETT: And Chairman Stafford, I've 20 got the language here. 21 I've got it right here. CHMN. STAFFORD: MR. CROCKETT: Okay. I'll hand this to our 22 23 scribe and we can add that to the screen.

MEMBER LITTLE: Do you have copies of it for

24

25

the rest of us maybe?

1 CHMN. STAFFORD: It's the language from that other CEC we discussed yesterday. 2 MR. CROCKETT: We can put it up on the screen 3 if you'd prefer to see it there. 4 5 CHMN. STAFFORD: The designation of corridors in this Certificate, as shown in Exhibit A, does not 6 7 authorize a right-of-way greater than 200 feet wide for the transmission line, nor does it grant the applicant 8 9 exclusive rights within the corridor. Mr. Chairman, I'm wondering 10 MEMBER LITTLE: whether we should put that as Condition 18 and scoot 11 all the rest of them down, just because 18 through the 12 rest of them talk about compliance and sort of 13 bookkeeping stuff. Is that too -- make things too 14 15 complicated? 16 CHMN. STAFFORD: I don't know. How fast can they renumber everything? 17 18 MR. CROCKETT: Oh, I don't think it makes it too complicated. We can put it in wherever -- wherever 19 the Committee would like to have it inserted. 20 21 CHMN. STAFFORD: We don't need the 21 on here 22 anymore, do we? I think we addressed that. 23 MEMBER LITTLE: Yeah, I think we addressed 24 that. 25 CHMN. STAFFORD: It's just the condition of

- making it explicit that the corridor is not exclusive in the way the right-of-way will be.
- MR. CROCKETT: And Chairman Stafford, we did
  have one suggestion to this language, which is shown on
  the redline on the screen. This would be one
- 6 modification to that Condition 23 that was approved in 7 Case 211.
- 8 CHMN. STAFFORD: All right. And I guess
  9 maybe we should just say corridor instead of plural.
  10 And then for the transmission line, like I said, not
  11 each, because it's only one. Corridor, singular, and
  12 -- there you go.
- MEMBER LITTLE: Mr. Chairman, I move the additional condition as modified.
- 15 CHMN. STAFFORD: And do you want to leave it
  16 as 23 or do you want to amend it to be --
- MEMBER LITTLE: I was going to just move that
  we renumber things as a second motion. Can we do it
  all as one?
- 20 CHMN. STAFFORD: Yeah. It's been moved and 21 now it's been amended, right?
- 22 MEMBER LITTLE: Yes.
- 23 CHMN. STAFFORD: Wait. We didn't move it at
  24 all. We're just talking about it here. So we need to
  25 move it, second it, and then amend it to where you want

	216
1	MEMBER LITTLE: Mr. Chairman, I move Finding
2	of Fact and Conclusion of Law Number 1.
3	MEMBER FRENCH: Second.
4	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.
5	(A chorus of ayes.)
6	CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed.
7	(No response.)
8	CHMN. STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition
9	Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Number 1 is
10	adopted.
11	Number 2.
12	MEMBER FRENCH: Move Finding 2.
13	MEMBER MERCER: Second.
14	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.
15	(A chorus of ayes.)
16	CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed.
17	(No response.)
18	CHMN. STAFFORD: Finding 2 is adopted.
19	Number 3.
20	MEMBER KRYDER: Mr. Chairman, move to approve
21	Condition 3.
22	MEMBER LITTLE: Second.
23	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.
24	(A chorus of ayes.)
25	CHMN. STAFFORD: Finding of Fact, Conclusion

## **Evidentiary Hearing, Volume II - 05/16/2023**

	217
1	of Law Number 3 is approved.
2	Number 4.
3	MEMBER FRENCH: Move Finding 4.
4	MEMBER LITTLE: Second.
5	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.
6	(A chorus of ayes.)
7	CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed.
8	(No response.)
9	CHMN. STAFFORD: Hearing none, Number 4 is
10	adopted.
11	Number 5.
12	MEMBER MERCER: I move Finding of Fact
13	Number 5.
14	MEMBER LITTLE: Second.
15	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.
16	(A chorus of ayes.)
17	CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed.
18	(No response.)
19	CHMN. STAFFORD: Hearing none, Number 5 is
20	approved.
21	Number 6.
22	MEMBER LITTLE: Mr. Chairman, I move Finding
23	of Fact 6.
24	MEMBER FRENCH: Second.
25	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.

Г	
	219
1	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.
2	(A chorus of ayes.)
3	CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed.
4	(No response.)
5	CHMN. STAFFORD: Hearing none, Exhibit A is
6	adopted.
7	Now, I'd also ask the Committee for a motion
8	to allow the Chair to correct any scrivener's errors in
9	the CEC before transmitting to the Commission.
10	MEMBER FRENCH: So moved.
11	MEMBER LITTLE: Second.
12	CHMN. STAFFORD: All in favor, say aye.
13	(A chorus of ayes.)
14	CHMN. STAFFORD: Opposed.
15	(No response.)
16	CHMN. STAFFORD: Hearing none. Thank you.
17	Now we'll move on to a roll call vote for the
18	CEC. Member Mercer.
19	MEMBER MERCER: Aye.
20	CHMN. STAFFORD: Member Drago.
21	MEMBER DRAGO: Aye.
22	CHMN. STAFFORD: Member French.
23	MEMBER FRENCH: I vote aye. And I would like
24	to also thank the applicant and the folks that brought
25	evidence here and testified for a quality application

	220
1	and the flexibility to have us amend this. Thank you.
2	CHMN. STAFFORD: Member Kryder.
3	MEMBER KRYDER: Aye.
4	CHMN. STAFFORD: Member Little.
5	MEMBER LITTLE: Mr. Chairman, I also would
6	like to thank the applicant, and in particular the
7	technology team, for the fine job. And once again, I
8	would like to thank the applicant for providing the
9	exhibits that showed the substation and all of that
10	congestion and the other solar projects in the area.
11	That was very helpful. And with that, I vote aye.
12	CHMN. STAFFORD: Member Somers.
13	MEMBER SOMERS: I vote aye.
14	CHMN. STAFFORD: And I vote aye.
15	By a vote of seven to zero, the CEC is
16	approved.
17	Anything further from Members?
18	(No response.)
19	CHMN. STAFFORD: The applicant?
20	MR. CROCKETT: Mr. Chairman, no, other than
21	to express our gratitude to the Committee Members that
22	hear the heard this case. We recognize that it's a
23	great sacrifice of your time to be here. This is an
24	important function that you serve, and we appreciate
25	you hearing us out. And I too would like to commend